UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/584,157	04/19/2007	Dirk Dobrindt	N81814LPK	5063
	7590 04/27/200 DDAK COMPANY		EXAMINER	
PATENT LEGAL STAFF 343 STATE STREET			MCCULLOUGH, MICHAEL C	
+ -+	NY 14650-2201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3653	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/27/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No	. Applicant(s)	
	10/584,157	DOBRINDT, DIR	K
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHAEL C. MC	CCULLOUGH 3653	
The MAILING DATE of this commo	inication appears on the cove	r sheet with the correspondence a	ddress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE - Extensions of time may be available under the provision after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for really reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	MAILING DATE OF THIS CO ns of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, how nmunication. statutory period will apply and will expire bly will, by statute, cause the application	OMMUNICATION. yever, may a reply be timely filed SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) f This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practice. 	2b) ☐ This action is non-firn for allowance except for fo	rmal matters, prosecution as to th	ne merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-8</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to rest Application Papers	are withdrawn from conside		
9) The specification is objected to by			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/ar Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi 11) The oath or declaration is objected	iection to the drawing(s) be helding the correction is required if the	d in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ne drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priori 2. Certified copies of the priori 3. Copies of the certified copies	y documents have been reco y documents have been reco s of the priority documents h ional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	eived. eived in Application No ave been received in this Nationa 2(a)).	ıl Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/584,157 Page 2

Art Unit: 3653

DETAILED ACTION

The amendment filed 28 January 2009 has been entered.

Information Disclosure Statement

1. The listing of references in the specification is not a proper information disclosure statement. 37 CFR 1.98(b) requires a list of all patents, publications, or other information submitted for consideration by the Office, and MPEP § 609.04(a) states, "the list may not be incorporated into the specification but must be submitted in a separate paper." Therefore, unless the references have been cited by the examiner on form PTO-892, they have not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 2. Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the drivable at least one stacking member" in line
- 4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 4. Regarding claim 7, the phrase "rubber-like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include(s) elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "-like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/584,157 Page 3

Art Unit: 3653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Holtje (US 5,692,740). Holtje discloses at least one stacking device (12) that rotates about an axis of rotation (14) comprises at least one tongue-shaped drag element (28a) that is on a radial exterior side of at least one stacking member (16a), at least one input means (18a), and a stack abutment (40).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Holtje (US 5,692,740) in view of Dobrindt (US 2004/0256797 A1). Holtje discloses all of the limitations of the claims but does not disclose a metal reinforcement. However, Dobrindt discloses a similar device that includes a tongue with a metal reinforcement (see Paragraph 0014) for the purpose of forming a pickup slot. It would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to modify Holtje by utilizing a metal reinforcement, as disclosed by Dobrindt, for the purpose of forming a pickup slot.

Application/Control Number: 10/584,157 Page 4

Art Unit: 3653

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments filed 28 January 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant's argument that the drag element corresponding to Applicant's claimed drag element corresponds to a different element in the prior art does not address the rejection. Drag element 28a of Holtje reads on Applicant's drag element as claimed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL C. MCCULLOUGH whose telephone number

is (571)272-7805. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 7:00 am - 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick Mackey can be reached on (571) 272-6916. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Patrick H. Mackey/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3653

MCM